



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/077,221	02/15/2002	Erich Horn	SWR-0077	3123	
7:	590 02/27/2003		•		
CANTOR COLBURN LLP			EXAMINER		
55 GRIFFIN ROAD			YAM, STEPHEN K		
BLOOMFIELD	BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2878		
		•	DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>\rightarrow\right</i>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	Office Antinu Occurre	10/077,221	HORN ET AL	- .
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
•		Stephen Yam	2878	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	e address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is not firm may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, howe eply within the statutory min d will apply and will expire tte, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	this communication
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	February 2002 .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	Γhis action is non-fi	nal.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	on.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consider	ation.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election require	ment.	
•	on Papers	·		
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)🖾 -	Γhe drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2002</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted o	b) objected to by the Exam	iner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8	5(a).
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approve	d b) disapproved by the Ex	aminer.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	eply to this Office ac	ion.	
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been rece	ived.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been rece	ived in Application No.	. •
* S	Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 1	ve been received in this Nation 7.2(a)).	
- 14) <u></u> A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisi	ional application).
	The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome			,
Attachment	c(s)			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	
S. Patent and Tr TO-326 (Re		Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 10/077,221

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hyde, Jr. US Patent No. 6,038,553.

Regarding Claim 1, Hyde, Jr. teaches (see Fig. 7a and 7b) a method for a test of acceptability in an automatic vending machine, comprising feeding (115) a bank note to a digitalization station (53) (see Fig. 3) via a transport unit (within (53)), digitalizing (121) the bank note and comparing (129) (see Col. 8, lines 33-36) the generated digital data with stored data in a computer unit to determine value, rendering an automatic decision regarding acceptability (see Col. 8, lines 36-39), and if the decision is negative, generating (215) (see Fig. 10) an image of the digital data for visual inspection by a service employee to render a final decision on acceptability (see Col. 5, lines 33-39 and Col. 10, lines 25-32).

Regarding Claim 7, Hyde, Jr. teaches (see Fig. 3) a device for a test of acceptability for an automatic vending machine comprising at least one unit (53) for receiving a bank note, a transport/feed unit (within (53)), a digitalization unit (49, 53), a computer unit (27) (see Fig. 1 and Col. 5, lines 30-32), an image display unit (27) (see Col. 5, lines 30-39), an input unit (27) (inherently, a personal computer contains a keyboard) (see Col. 5, lines 30-32), and a unit (53, 55) for returning (see Col. 8, lines 15-17), advancing (see Col. 8, lines 21-26), collecting (see

Application/Control Number: 10/077,221

Art Unit: 2878

Col. 8, lines 63-64), and stacking (collecting multiple bank notes within the ATM machine) the bank notes.

Regarding Claim 2, Hyde, Jr. teaches digitizing of the bank note by scanning (see Col. 8, lines 18-21).

Regarding Claim 3, Hyde, Jr. teaches performing an image data comparison in the form of data comparison (inherent within an optical-character-recognition (OCR) process in which a scanned pattern is digitally compared to a digital representation of an alphanumeric character) (see Col. 8, lines 33-36).

Regarding Claim 5, Hyde, Jr.teaches the service employee on a personal computer (see Col. 5, lines 30-32) registering the final decision regarding acceptance (see Col. 5, lines 38-39)-inherently, a personal computer contains a keyboard in which to receive input from a user, and the service employee uses the personal computer's keyboard to convey the decision of acceptance.

Regarding Claim 8, Hyde, Jr. teaches the digitalization unit as a scanner (53) (see Col. 8, lines 18-21).

Regarding Claim 9, Hyde, Jr. teaches the digitalization unit as a digital camera (49) (inherently, the camera is digital, as its image is transmitted and displayed on the personal computer (see Col. 3, lines 27-30)).

Regarding Claim 10, Hyde, Jr. teaches the image display unit as a monitor (on the personal computer (27).

Regarding Claim 11, Hyde, Jr.teaches the service employee on a personal computer (see Col. 5, lines 30-32) registering the final decision regarding acceptance (see Col. 5, lines 38-39)-

Application/Control Number: 10/077,221

Art Unit: 2878

inherently, a personal computer contains a keyboard in which to receive input from a user, and the service employee uses the personal computer's keyboard to convey the decision of acceptance.

Regarding Claim 13, Hyde, Jr. teaches (see Fig. 3) supplementary signal units for optical (45) indicators.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 6, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hyde, Jr.

Regarding Claim 4, Hyde, Jr. teaches the method as taught in Claim 1, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Hyde, Jr. does not teach performing a detailed inspection of adjustable parameters to automatically render a preliminary decision between a direct rejection and an employee decision. It is well known in the art to adjust the brightness and contrast in a scanned image to improve the detectability of image patterns. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to inspect the brightness and contrast parameters of the scanned image to render another decision before an employee decision in the method of Hyde, Jr., to optimize the scanning process and prevent unnecessary use of human intervention in a mostly-automated process.

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding Claim 6, Hyde, Jr. teaches the method as taught in Claim 1, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Hyde, Jr. also teaches the visual inspection by a service employee when the OCR scanned check amount does not match the customer-entered amount (see Col. 10, lines 23-33). Hyde, Jr. does not teach automatically marking the area of deviation prompting the negative automatic decision. It is well known in the art that OCR programs isolate specific characters within a document which encounter recognition problems and ask a user to manually identify the character. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mark the area of deviation on the scanned image prompting the negative automatic decision, to simplify the verification process for the service employee by concentrating on the specific character(s) which encounter the recognition difficulties so the service employee can easily check if the scanned character matches the numeric digit entered by the customer.

Regarding Claim 12, Hyde, Jr. teaches the device as taught in Claim 7, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Hyde, Jr. also teaches (see Fig. 3) supplementary signal units for optical (45) indicators. Hyde, Jr. does not teach supplementary signal units for acoustic indicators. It is well known to use acoustic indicators in automated teller machines, to provide service for visually-impaired or illiterate customers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use acoustic indicators in the device of Hyde, Jr., to provide full usage of the device for customers with special requirements.

Conclusion

- Art Unit: 2878[^]

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Woods et al., US Patent No. 4,813,077, teach the automated scanning of bank notes and a visual inspection of the scanned images by a service employee when the dollar amount is not successfully recognized.

Elischer et al., US Patent No. 5,040,226, teach a system for automatically scanning checks and using a service employee for visual inspection of the scanned images when the total deposit is mismatched.

Granzow et al., US Patent No. 4,580,040, teach a system for detecting the information from a check and conveying it to a teller, who provides a decision on acceptability.

4 Art Unit: 2878

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Yam whose telephone number is (703)306-3441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (703)308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7724 for regular communications and (703)308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

SY.

February 20, 2003

DAVID PORTA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800